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Abstract

The problem of four-dimensional variational data assimilation can be considered as a nonlinear least squares problem. A common method for solving it is equivalent to a Gauss-Newton method. However approximations must be made in order to solve the problem efficiently. In this paper we examine two approximations to the Gauss-Newton method and their effect on the data assimilation problem.
1 Introduction

Data assimilation is the name given to the process of combining observed data with a numerical model to produce the best estimate of the state of a system. It is used for example in numerical weather prediction to obtain the initial conditions from which to run a weather forecasting model. Modern techniques of data assimilation include four-dimensional variational data assimilation (4D-Var), which uses a sequence of observations over a given time window to estimate the best model trajectory within the window. This method considers the data assimilation problem as a nonlinear least squares problem, which is solved using an iterative process. However the problem is very large and so approximations must be made to ensure an efficient solution process. In this paper we consider the effect of some of these approximations on the convergence of the iteration process. In Section 2 we introduce the concept of four-dimensional variational data assimilation. Section 3 introduces the Gauss-Newton method for solving a nonlinear least squares problem, which is equivalent to a method commonly used in 4D-Var. In Section 4 we illustrate approximations of the Gauss-Newton method using a simple 4D-Var system and state some theoretical results which explain the numerical results. Finally we draw some conclusions in Section 5.

2 Four-dimensional variational data assimilation

The aim of four-dimensional variational data assimilation is to find a model state $x_0$ at an initial time $t_0$, such that the distance between the trajectory of the model and a set of observations $y_i$ at times $t_i$ is minimized, subject to $x_0$ remaining close to a prior estimate $x^b$, also known as a background field. Mathematically this can be expressed as a nonlinear least squares problem, for which we wish to find the model state $x_0$ that minimizes the objective function

$$J(x_0) = \frac{1}{2}(x_0 - x^b)^T B_0^{-1}(x_0 - x^b) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (H_i[x_i] - y^o_i)^T R_i^{-1} (H_i[x_i] - y^o_i)$$

subject to the $x_i$ satisfying the discrete nonlinear model

$$x_i = S(t_i, t_0, x_0),$$

where $S(t_i, t_0, x_0)$ is the solution operator of the nonlinear model. The matrices $B_0$ and $R_i$ are the background error and observation error covariance matrices respectively and $H_i$ is an operator which maps the model field to observation space.

For the problem of numerical weather prediction the state vector is very large, with the order of $10^7$ elements. Thus efficient methods must be found to minimize the function (1). A common way of treating this problem is by what is called the incremental method [1], which we have previously shown to be equivalent to applying the Gauss-Newton iteration to minimize a nonlinear least squares function [2].

3 Gauss-Newton iteration

To introduce the Gauss-Newton method we consider a general nonlinear least squares problem of the form

$$\min_x J(x) = \frac{1}{2} \| f(x) \|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} f(x)^T f(x),$$


with \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) [3]. We can write the 4D-Var objective function (1) in this form by putting
\[
f(x) = C^{-1/2} \hat{d},
\]
where
\[
\hat{d}(x_0) = -\begin{pmatrix} x_0 - x^b \\ H_0[x_0] - y_o^b \\ \vdots \\ H_n[x_n] - y_n^b \end{pmatrix}, \quad C^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_0^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & R^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad R = \text{diag}\{R_j\}. \quad (4)
\]

We assume that \( J(x) \) is twice continuously differentiable in an open convex set \( D \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and that the minimization problem (3) has a unique solution \( x^* \in D \). Then the first and second derivatives of \( J(x) \) are given by
\[
\nabla J(x) = J^T f(x),
\]
\[
\nabla^2 J(x) = J^T J + Q(x),
\]
where \( J = J(x) \) is the Jacobian of \( f(x) \) and \( Q(x) \) contains the second order information. Then the Gauss-Newton iteration for solving (3) is an approximation to a Newton iteration in which the second order terms are neglected. Thus we obtain the iterative procedure (GN)
\[
\text{Solve } (J^T J) \delta x^{(k)} = -J^T f(x^{(k)}), \quad (7)
\]
\[
\text{Set } x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + \delta x^{(k)}. \quad (8)
\]

Sufficient conditions for the convergence of the exact Gauss-Newton method (GN) to the solution of the nonlinear least squares problem (3) have been derived by different authors. From [4] we know that convergence to \( x^* \) is guaranteed if \( \| (J(x^*)^T J(x^*))^{-1} Q(x^*) \| < 1 \). Other sufficient conditions can be found in [3], which also gives a rate of convergence, and in [5], which provides a proof from a geometrical point of view.

For the data assimilation problem it is not normally possible to solve (7) directly and so the solution is found by an iterative minimization of a convex quadratic function. We refer to this procedure as the inner minimization. We note also from (4) that the definition of \( f(x) \) implicitly includes an integration of the nonlinear model (2) to calculate the value of \( x \) at each time step in \( \hat{d} \). Hence the Jacobian \( J \) includes an integration of the linearization of this nonlinear model.

## 4 Application to data assimilation

In practice the data assimilation problem is very large and approximations must be made to ensure an efficient solution method. Two approximations which are commonly made are to solve the inner minimization problem inexactly and to replace the true linearized model with an approximation to it. We investigate these approximations in simple assimilation experiments.

### 4.1 Assimilation system

The model we use to test approximations to the Gauss-Newton method is a one-dimensional nonlinear shallow water system for the flow of a fluid over an obstacle in the absence of rotation. The model equations are given by
\[
\frac{Du}{Dt} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = -g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}, \quad (9)
\]
\[
\frac{D(\ln \phi)}{Dt} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (10)
\]
with $D/Dt = \partial/\partial t + u\partial/\partial x$. In these equations $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}(x)$ is the height of the bottom orography, $u$ is the velocity of the fluid and $\phi = gh$ is the geopotential, where $g$ is the gravitational constant and $\tilde{h} > 0$ the depth of the fluid above the orography. The problem is defined on the domain $x \in [0, L]$, with periodic boundary conditions, and we let $t \in [0, T]$.

The model is discretized using a two-time-level semi-implicit semi-Lagrangian scheme. Further details of the numerics can be found in [6]. We use a periodic domain of 1000 grid points, with a spacing $\Delta x = 0.01$ m, so that $x \in [0, 10]$ m. The model time step is taken to be $9.2 \times 10^{-3}$ s and we consider an assimilation over a window of 100 time steps.

In order to test the assimilation we run ‘identical twin’ experiments, in which observations are generated from a run of the model defined to be the truth. These observations are then assimilated using 4D-Var, starting from an incorrect prior estimate. The inner minimization is performed using a conjugate gradient method and is considered to have converged when the relative change in the objective function between iterations is reduced by a factor $\epsilon$. Using such a system we test the effect of approximations to the Gauss-Newton method when applied to the assimilation problem.

4.2 Truncation of inner minimization

The first approximation that is often made is to perform an inexact solution of the inner problem (7). Intuitively we may expect that this is a reasonable approximation, since each successive inner problem is only an approximation to the true problem and so we may want to solve these only to within the accuracy of that approximation. This is equivalent to applying a truncated Gauss-Newton iteration (TGN), in which the first step of the (GN) method (7) is replaced by

$$\text{Solve } (J^T J) \delta x^{(k)} = -J^T f(x^{(k)}) + r_k,$$

where $r_k$ is the residual due to the inexact solution of the inner minimization.

To investigate the effect of this approximation we run an assimilation experiment for four iterations of the Gauss-Newton method, in which we have perfect observations of all variables at each time step. We compare two experiments, one in which the convergence parameter $\epsilon$ is held constant at a value of $10^{-4}$ and one in which it is varied between Gauss-Newton iterations, from $10^{-2}$ on the first iteration, decreasing by a factor of 10 on each successive iteration. The convergence of the objective function and its gradient is shown in Figure 1. We see that by using a variable convergence criterion with greater truncation on earlier iterations we are able to obtain faster overall convergence. An examination of
Figure 2: Plot (a) shows the error in the $u$ field in the centre of time window for the assimilation with true linearization (dotted line) and approximate linearization (dashed line). Plot (b) shows the difference between the two solutions.

the two solutions from these experiments shows that they both approximate the truth to within the same accuracy.

By adapting the theory from [7] we may prove that the (TGN) algorithm converges to the true solution $x^*$ of the nonlinear problem, provided that the truncation residual $r_k$ is small enough. We obtain the theorem:

**Theorem 1** Suppose that on each iteration we truncate the Gauss-Newton method when

$$
\| r_k \| \leq \eta_k \| J^T f(x_k) \|,
$$

with

$$
\eta_k < \frac{1-\| (J^T(x_k)J(x_k))^{-1}Q(x_k) \|}{1+\| (J^T(x_k)J(x_k))^{-1}Q(x_k) \|},
$$

(12)

and let $\eta_k \leq \eta_{\text{max}} < t < 1$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, if $\| x_0 - x^* \| \leq \epsilon$, the truncated Gauss-Newton iteration (TGN) converges to the solution $x^*$ of the nonlinear least squares problem (3).

4.3 Approximation of linear model

A second approximation we may wish to make in 4D-Var is to replace the true linearization of the nonlinear model with an approximation to it. In the context of the Gauss-Newton iteration, this is equivalent to replacing the Jacobian $J(x)$ with an approximation $\tilde{J}(x)$. Thus we obtain the perturbed Gauss-Newton iteration (PGN), in which the first step of the method (7) is replaced by

$$
\text{Solve } (\tilde{J}^T \tilde{J}) \delta x^{(k)} = -\tilde{J}^T f(x^{(k)}),
$$

(13)

where $\tilde{J}$ is the perturbed Jacobian.

To test the effect of such an approximation we perform an assimilation using the same case as in Section 4.2, but in which we add noise to the observations with a maximum amplitude of 5%. Assimilations are performed with an exact and approximate linear model, as derived previously in [6] and used for assimilation experiments in [2]. In Figure 2 we show the errors in the $u$ field at the centre of the time window in comparison with the true solution and the difference between the two solutions. We find that both solutions agree with the truth to within the accuracy of the observations and the difference between them is two orders of magnitude less than this.
Again it is possible to provide a theoretical understanding of these results by an analysis of the (PGN) method. The method can be considered as a way of solving the approximate normal equations

\[
\tilde{J}(\tilde{x}^*)^T f(\tilde{x}^*) = 0.
\]  

(14)

Then based on the work of [7] and [8] we can prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2** Let the first derivative of \( \tilde{J}(x)^T f(\tilde{x}) \) be written

\[
\tilde{J}(x)^T J(x) + \tilde{Q}(x),
\]  

(15)

where \( \tilde{Q}(x) \) represents second order terms arising from the derivative of \( \tilde{J}(x) \). Suppose that on each iteration \( k \) of the perturbed Gauss-Newton method we have

\[
|| (\tilde{J}(x_k)^T \tilde{J}(x_k) - \tilde{J}(x_k)^T J(x_k) - \tilde{Q}(x_k))(\tilde{J}(x_k)^T J(x_k))^{-1} || < 1.
\]  

(16)

Then there exists \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that, if \( \| x_0 - \tilde{x}^* \| \leq \epsilon \), the (PGN) iteration converges to the solution \( \tilde{x}^* \) of the perturbed problem (14).

Thus, provided that certain sufficient conditions are satisfied, the data assimilation system using an approximate linear model will converge. We may expect the solutions to be similar if the perturbed Jacobian \( \tilde{J}(x) \) is close to the true Jacobian \( J(x) \), that is if the approximations made to the linear model are small. Further analysis shows that the difference between the true fixed point \( x^* \) and the perturbed fixed point \( \tilde{x}^* \) depends on the distance between the pseudo-inverses \( (J^T J)^{-1} J^T \) and \( (\tilde{J}^T \tilde{J})^{-1} \tilde{J}^T \) calculated at \( x^* \) and on the residual \( f(x^*) \). If there is no error on the observations, then the residual is zero and we find that the fixed point \( x^* \) of the exact Gauss-Newton method is also a fixed point of the perturbed iteration (PGN).

## 5 Conclusions

In order to use 4D-Var for data assimilation in any realistic system it is necessary to make some approximations. In this work we have examined two such approximations, truncation of the inner minimization and approximation of the linear model. Numerical results with a simple model showed that the assimilation system may still be valid when such approximations are included. By examining the underlying algorithm in the context of approximations to the Gauss-Newton iteration we have been able to provide theoretical results which support the numerical results. Preliminary results on the convergence of the truncated and perturbed Gauss-Newton iterations have been presented. Proofs of the theorems are not given here, but full details will be provided elsewhere.
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