

# THE EVOLUTION OF A 'GLOCALISED' TRANSNATIONAL FOUNDATION PROGRAMME?

*CURRICULUM*

*STANDARDS*

*PHILOSOPHY*

Yuan (Michelle) Hu

Antonia Paterson

Chris Macallister



Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University

西交利物浦大學

# Evolution as a change metaphor in HE

- “EAP leaders make history but not on their terms” (Marx (1852/Macallister 2019))
- ‘The planning myth’
- Our environment + our choices (agency)  
= identity



# The Reflexive & Glocalised Programme

~~☐ Structure vs. Agency~~

☐ Structure AND Agency (Giddens 1979)

~~☐ Language/EAP as local practice~~ (*Pennycook 2010*) – Glocalisation by another name?



# Context at Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool P.1

Who are we:

- Transnational? global? local?
- The 'child' of a Chinese and UK HE collaboration
- EMI



# Context at XJTLU P.2

Who are our students:

- Vast Majority Chinese nationals
- Two degrees UoL & Chinese MOE
- Bi or multilingual
- Next step is a Masters in the Anglosphere



# Our presentation (evolution is messy, but creative)

- Yuan Hu – Challenges in Curriculum Design
- Antonia Paterson – Professional Standards
- Chris Macallister – a Centre Philosophy?



# Part 1: Challenges in Year 1 EAP Curriculum Design

## Challenge 1: A diverse and growing student population

- Year 1 Student Size:  
approx. 160 (2006) – more than 3,300 (2018)
- Year 1 Student Cultural Backgrounds:
  - Mainland China: the majority
  - Other regions and countries: Taiwan, Korea, Indonesia, Uganda, etc.
- Year 1 Student English Levels:  
a wide range: e.g. IELTS (4 to 6 or above)



# Part 1: Challenges in Year 1 EAP Curriculum Design

## **Challenge 2:** A growing teaching team

- A growing number of tutors in Year 1:  
approx. 5 (2006) to 75 (2018)
- Different nationalities:  
e.g. British, American, Australian, Chinese, New Zealand, Singapore, South African, etc.
- A wide range of pedagogical cultures:



# Part 1: Challenges in Year 1 EAP Curriculum Design

## **Challenge 3:** Collaboration with other departments at the University

- The importance of EAP courses for students' departmental studies
- Student needs analysis from different departments e.g. Architecture, Math, English, Business etc.



# Part 1: Challenges in Year 1 EAP Curriculum Design

## Challenge 4: Alignment with International standards

- International standards (current):  
e.g. CEFR, IELTS, TOEFL, PTE
- Question: what are the standard(s) at the English Language Centre at XJTLU?  
e.g. language competence: Accuracy? Proficiency? Achievement?



# Part 2: Professional Standards

- Framework to understand and enact acceptable behaviour and professional relationships (Bray, Molina and Swecker, 2012).
- Certainty in uncertainty
- Can't be taken as universal and unchanging (Williams, 2008)
- Glocal standards?



# Professional standards: challenges

- Bureaucratisation: ‘paper trails of monitoring and accountability’ (Hargreaves, 2000, pp.168-169).
- Agency and autonomy: *‘our time is fully arranged, not by ourselves but by MCs and also from Centre level’*
- Result of ‘organisational professionalism’? (Evetts, 2011)



# Professional standards: challenges

## Converging cultures:

- Expectations of role and responsibility
- Role of administration
- Subjective key terms
- Staff-student relationships
  
- Engagement with teachers' lived realities?
- *'This is just documents' / 'who is this intended for?'*



# Professional standards: opportunities and evolution

- Re-examine existing standards: where created, who by, who for, whose voices?
- Uniformity and duplication cannot be conflated with quality (Pyvis, 2011)
- *Practiced* professionalism in context
- ‘Professionalism’ - Individual practiced professionalism based on ideological, attitudinal and intellectual views (Evans, 2008)



# Part 3. Chris Macallister: Evolution of a coherent(?) Programme Philosophy

❑ From Operationalism to Pedagogy

❑ But whose pedagogy?

*(our own of course! EAP as a local practice)*



# An EMI University

- ❑ Evolution of an EAP1: nobody's first language is EAP
- ❑ Evolution of EAP 2: How do you teach 100's & then 1000's of students
- ❑ Evolution of EAP 3: We do actually **teach** 1000's of students...



# We teach 1000's successfully *(which is a problem)*

- ❑ Systems to manage 1,000s of students, 100's of teachers, 10,000's of pieces of work
- ❑ Necessary but not sufficient
- ❑ A new 'environmental hazard': a culture of operationalism?



# Systems to solve systems

- ❑ Ever diminishing returns
- ❑ Teams can be too big
- ❑ Government is not the solution to the problem, government is the problem (R. Reagan 1981)



# A pedagogical counter narrative P1

Structures:

- ❑ More managers = smaller teams
- ❑ Teams focused through more coherent & pedagogically relevant factors
- ❑ Dividing the centre – The LC becomes an ELC & an MLC



# A pedagogical counter narrative P2

‘Tools’ for shaping learning & teaching:

- A principles lead approach to pedagogy
- ‘The ELC to the world, the world to the ELC’
- External accreditation
- Exploiting an external testing regime?



# Conclusions

- The need for a ‘glocalised’ approach
- ‘Evolution’ + **agency** is a messy but creative and accurate understanding of HE
- We are your future: scale, technology, cross-culturalism, ‘glocalisation’



# THANK YOU



Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University

西交利物浦大學

# References

- Bray, N.J., Molina, D.K. & Swecker, B.A. (2012) 'Organizational Constraints and Possibilities Regarding Codes of Conduct', *New Directions for Higher Education*, 160, pp.73-87.
- Evans, L. (2008) 'Professionalism, professionalism and professional development', *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 56(1), pp.20-38.
- Evetts, J. (2011) 'A new professionalism? Challenges and Opportunities'. *Current Sociology*. 59(4), pp.406-422.
- Hargreaves, A. (2000) 'Four Ages of Professionalism and Professional Learning'. *Teachers and Teaching: History and Practice*. 6(2), pp.152-182.
- Pyvis, D. (2011) 'The need for context-sensitive measures of educational quality in transnational higher education', *Teaching in Higher Education*, 16(6), pp.733-744.
- Williams, K. (2008) 'Troubling the concept of the "academic profession" in the 21st century higher education', *Higher Education*, 56, pp.533-544.

