A meeting of the Council was held in Room 201, Carrington Building, on Monday 21 March 2016 at 2.15 pm.

The President
The Vice-President (Mr R.E.R Evans)
The Vice-President (Ms S. M. Woodman)
The Vice-Chancellor
The Deputy Vice-Chancellor
The Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Professor G. Brooks)
The Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Professor R. Van de Noort)
The Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Mr V. Raimo)

In attendance:
The Chief Operating Officer
The Director of Quality Support and Development

Apologies were received from Lord Crisp, Dame Moira Gibb, Dr O.B. Kennedy, Ms K. Owen, and Mrs S.L. Webber.

The President reported the deaths of:

**Dr Phyllis Cartwright**, who was a Lecturer in Agricultural Botany from 1955 until her retirement in 1992.

**Ian Cawte**, who became Assistant Sub-Dean in the Faculty of Agriculture in 1967 and was Sub-Dean from 1969. He retired from the University in 1993.

**George Dugdale**, who was a temporary Lecturer in Geophysics from 1975 and became a Research Officer in the Department of Meteorology in 1981. He was a founding member of TAMSAT (Tropical Applications of Meteorology using SATellite data and ground-based observations). He retired from the University in 1996.
Emeritus Professor Roy Gregory, who joined the Department of Politics in 1964. He became a Professor in 1976 and served as Head of Department from 1985-89. He retired in 2000.

Dr Michael Hudson, who was appointed Lecturer in the Department of Chemistry in 1972 and retired in 2005.

Dr Bernard Mackey, who joined the University's Microbiology Department in the ARFC Institute of Food Research in 1991. He retired from the University in 2011.

Tony Warden, who joined the University working in the Language Library in 1963, and became the Laboratory Manager in October 1985. He was appointed Director of the Language Laboratory in 1989, and retired from the University in 1995.

16/17 The minutes (16/01-16/15) of the meeting held on 22 January 2016 were confirmed and signed.

16/18 Report of the Strategy and Finance Committee (Item 3.1)

The Council received the Report of the meeting of the Strategy and Finance Committee held on 7 March 2016 relating to items for report.

He confirmed that he would report in detail to the Strategy and Finance Committee at its meeting in June on the financial position of the UoRM.

The Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Professor Brooks) informed the Committee that the British Psychological Society had recently accredited the Psychology programme to be delivered at UoRM, and that a recent visit by the Malaysia Pharmacy Board had been very successful. The Council noted that accreditation of the BSc in Accountancy and Finance was expected shortly.

Resolved:

“That the Report of the meeting of the Strategy and Finance Committee held on 7 March 2016 relating to items for report, now submitted, be received.”

16/19 Documents sealed and to be sealed (Item 3.2)

The Council received a list of documents sealed and to be sealed.

Resolved:
“That the Council approve the action taken by the Officers and Members in affixing the University Seal to documents sealed since the last Ordinary Meeting of the Council and authorise the Seal of the University to be affixed to the documents to be sealed as now reported.”

16/20 Honorary Degree  (Item 3.3)

The Council noted that the President, on behalf of the Council, had approved a recommendation that an Honorary degree of Doctor of Science be conferred upon Dr Geoffrey Guy, founder and Chairman of GW Pharmaceuticals, on 7 July 2016.

16/21 Report of the Vice-Chancellor  (Item 4)

The Vice-Chancellor:

(a) reported that the official opening of the University of Reading Malaysia (UoRM) campus on 25 February had been very successful and had strengthened relationships which would be important for UoRM’s future development. The event had clearly indicated the significance of UoRM both for the University and for Malaysia;

(b) reported on the 90th Anniversary celebrations, including a lunchtime gathering in the central quadrangle at Whiteknights and the meeting of Court, which had been warmly received. A ‘homecoming’ event for alumni would be held in June;

(c) reported that he had recently visited China to support a joint application by Henley Business School and Beijing Institute of Technology for a business-focussed Confucius Institute. The application had not been approved on this occasion;

(d) invited the Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Professor Van de Noort) to provide an update on recruitment to date. REDACTED S.43

(e) noted that there had been relatively few national developments since the last meeting, with the exception of HEFCE’s publication of a new, risk-based quality assurance process. HEFCE had withdrawn funding from sector-wide bodies, such as HESA and the HEA, with the probable consequence that subscriptions would increase. The Vice-Chancellor had been appointed as Chair of a UUK working group tasked with reviewing the need for the current range of sector bodies;

(f) advised that the Budget did not include any major direct measures in respect of higher education, except for confirmation of a loan scheme for students taking taught postgraduate programmes and its extension to postgraduate research students. However, the wider government efficiency review might have implications for higher education in due course;
(g) reported that the University had not adopted a formal position in respect of the EU referendum, although the University Executive Board had expressed the view that the University benefited from access to EU research funding and from the free movement of people. The University was providing a forum in which EU membership could be discussed;

(h) reported on a visit by the US Ambassador who had led a workshop on international politics.

In response to a question from Ms Egan, the Vice-Chancellor and Mr Ratcliffe confirmed that the University and RUSU were actively encouraging students to engage in the debate about the UK’s membership of Europe and to vote in the referendum.

In response to a question from Dr Rawal, Professor Van de Noort outlined the University’s approach to making offers to undergraduate applicants. The University now specified a range of offers for each programme, which allowed the offer to be tailored to the individual profile of the candidate, and, in some cases, on the basis of a strong performance at GCSE, the University might make an unconditional offer. REDACTED S. 43 The Vice-Chancellor observed that, following Government reforms to open the market in higher education, universities were operating in a fast-moving and less predictable environment, and had responded by developing innovative approaches to offer-making. The University was committed to recruiting students of high ability and potential, and had developed approaches to offer-making which supported this purpose and were transparent.

Resolved:

“That the Report of the Vice-Chancellor, now submitted, be approved.”

16/22 Reports of the Strategy and Finance Committee (Item 5)

The Council received the Reports of the meetings of the Strategy and Finance Committee held on 7 March 2016 relating to items for discussion and decision.

In regard to item 5.1, Efficiency and Effectiveness Programme, the Chief Operating Officer noted that the programme was now in the last year of the three-year programme and would formally close in July 2016. He reported that, while much had been achieved, quite a lot remained to be done, particularly in respect of the Professional and Administrative Services (PAS) programme. The savings from the PAS programme envisaged for the financial year 2015/16 would not now be achieved in full, due to the complexity and phasing of the programme, but were expected to be achieved in full in the financial year 2016/17 and beyond. The effectiveness of processes in the Student Journey and Enabling Technology workstreams had been significantly improved, but less progress was evident in the Data and Management Information workstream.

The Chief Operating Officer reported that the voluntary redundancy scheme had been highly successful, and that, to date, 100 staff had applied under this
round of the scheme, 90 had been offered voluntary redundancy, and 70 had accepted the offer. Moreover, a large number of staff had been matched to new posts, and those who had not been matched had been able to apply for a range of vacancies. Posts in the new Student Support Centres had been filled by staff with experience of working in School-based and/or student-facing roles, who would therefore bring relevant experience and expertise to their new roles. In some areas, the number of vacant posts exceeded the number of staff at risk of redundancy.

Mr Beardmore-Gray, as Chair of the Audit Committee, indicated that last year the Audit Committee had not been able to offer an opinion on whether the Efficiency and Effectiveness Programme had provided value for money since the programme was not yet completed. He suggested that there could be a similar difficulty this year, given that the programme was due to close on 31 July 2016.

Mr Beardmore-Gray indicated that the Audit Committee may wish to commission an interim review, following the closure of the programme, to provide a preliminary view on these matters. Mr Beardmore-Gray indicated that the nature of such an interim review would require further thought. The Vice-Chancellor reminded Council that, in accordance with the University’s standard procedure - agreed recently - a fuller review would be undertaken 12-18 months later. This would be able to evaluate more fully the benefits arising from the programme.

The Vice-Chancellor noted that T&L Operations had been the most contentious area reviewed under the PAS process, and had attracted some adverse comment from both students and staff. The University believed that the PAS process provided an opportunity to improve the quality and effectiveness of student services. The National Student Survey indicated that the effectiveness of student services varied significantly from School to School, and the creation of three Student Support Centres (in addition to the existing centre in Henley Business School) to replace School-based student services would ensure greater consistency and resilience, and offer improved access to expert advice. The savings derived from the rationalisation of student support and the PAS review more broadly were being reinvested in teaching and learning and in research. The Vice-Chancellor recognised that the proposals for T&L Operations and their benefits had not been effectively communicated and reference was made to open letters issued by the Vice-Chancellor and RUSU. It also indicated that additional transitory arrangements were now being contemplated.

Mr Ratcliffe informed the Council that, among the student body, there remained significant opposition to the changes, as reflected in RUSU’s open letter. RUSU had proposed that the implementation of PAS be postponed for a year to allow RUSU and the student body to be fully engaged in the development of the proposals. He explained that the opposition to PAS was a grassroots movement, and that the RUSU officers were seeking to fulfil their representative function by engaging constructively with the University’s processes and by pursuing the arguments through the formal channels and committees.

Mrs Martin, who sat on the Staff Forum and had been elected to the Council by staff at Grade 5 and below, reported that the majority of staff contacting
the Staff Forum were concerned that the process should be completed as soon as possible so that they could be clear about their position and move forward with the new structures and processes, to the benefit of the University.

Professor Ellis indicated that, while he had reservations about the manner in which the change process had been conducted, there was, at this stage, an urgent need to ensure that effective processes were in place for students in September; in consequence, all efforts should be focussed on making the current proposal work as effectively as possible.

The President reported that, before this meeting, he had met with Dr P. Hatcher, President of the local branch of the University and College Union (UCU), who had explained the union’s concerns, which related particularly to the T&L Operations and Technical Support workstreams. Dr Hatcher reported that there was a strong and widespread belief among academic and other staff that the University would have been better served by a more tactical and gradualist approach to improving student services: the current radical approach incurred significant risk and was eroding the goodwill of staff, which was critical to the University’s effective operation. He had made clear that the organising committee which was leading the opposition to PAS was broad-based and extended beyond the UCU membership; the local UCU branch was supporting the committee.

Dr Erskine commended the Vice-Chancellor for his admission of shortcomings in the communication of the programme to students, and urged that, in taking forward the restructuring, careful attention be paid to the student voice. It was suggested that the University and RUSU might consider developing a joint communications strategy to ensure the timely dissemination of information and provide an effective conduit for feedback. The Vice-Chancellor confirmed that the RUSU officers were now members of the Programme Board and were in a position to play a full part in the oversight and development of the programme.

The President noted that a suspension of the programme would be difficult to contemplate at this stage, and with the support of the Council to continue with the implementation of the programme, accompanied by careful communication and consultation, with the objective of both improved efficiency and effectiveness. It was recognised that flexibility and further adaptation would be required during the first year of implementation.

In regard to item 5.2, Financial Report: January 2016, the Chief Operating Officer reported that the University was committed to achieving the budgeted surplus of REDACTED S.43 The President reported that he had asked Mr Sherman to review the information included in the Financial Reports with a view to reducing the amount, and increasing the usefulness, of the data provided.

REDACTED S 43

In regard to item 5.4, Capital Programme Update, the President reported that it had been decided to defer until the July meeting of Council the formal proposals in respect of the new Health and Life Sciences Building and the extension to the Henley Business School Building at Whiteknights. The
proposal for residential accommodation for Executive Education at Greenland, which was a smaller project, would be considered in the current meeting (Minute 16/23). The President explained that the relevant financial analysis had not been available to the Strategy and Finance Committee at its meeting on 7 March to support consideration of the two larger schemes.

The Chief Operating Officer outlined the current position in relation to the disposal of land around Didcot, explaining that, while the University had confidence in a successful outcome, the planning processes were still in train. In order to secure the funds for the forthcoming major projects, the University would need to take on some interim transitional debt, and, in this connection, a revolving credit facility for REDACTED S.43 was being negotiated with REDACTED S. 43. In response to questions, the Vice-Chancellor reiterated his commitment to deliver an operating surplus in line with the agreed target, and spoke of the importance of ongoing investment in the University, its estate, educational provision and research, at the present juncture, which enabled the University to compete in the current, increasingly competitive higher education environment.

Resolved:

“That:

(a) the Report on the Efficiency and Effectiveness Programme, now submitted, be received;

(b) the Financial Report for January 2016, now submitted, be received;

(c) REDACTED S.43

(d) a paper entitled Funding of Major Capital Projects, now submitted, be received.”

16/23 Major Capital Programme: Greenlands Accommodation Project (Item 6)

The Council received a paper entitled Major Capital Programme: Greenlands Accommodation Project. The Council noted that agreement at this meeting would allow the programme to take advantage of the ecological windows when work was possible on a site as sensitive as Greenland and would also allow Henley Business School to develop its growing market for Executive Education.

Resolved:

1. “That:

(a) the Greenlands Accommodation Project with a budget of REDACTED S.43 , including a project contingency of REDACTED S.43, be approved;

(b) a Project Committee be established to oversee the delivery of the Greenlands Accommodation Project.”
16/24 **The Living Wage** (Item 7)

The Council received a paper entitled Living Wage. The Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Professor Van de Noort) explained that RUSU, through a student-led campaign, was urging the University to become an accredited Living Wage Employer. The University Executive Board and the Strategy and Finance Committee, having considered the arguments, had concluded that the University should not seek such accreditation, principally on the grounds that the University should retain control of its salary costs; they had, however, agreed that the matter be considered by the Council.

Mr Ratcliffe indicated that the Living Wage Campaign had been approved by the RUSU membership, in a democratic vote, as a campaigning priority for the next two years, and that work in this area would therefore continue.

In response to questions, Professor Van de Noort noted that the Living Wage Foundation took account only of take-home pay, and he outlined the University’s broader benefits package, which included the pension scheme, generous holiday provision, sick leave and a good working environment. He also explained that the Living Wage, as prescribed by the Living Wage Foundation, would be superseded by the National Living Wage within four years or so. Dr Erskine suggested that the University might usefully consider a communications to staff and students explaining the value of its overall benefits package.

The Council endorsed the position on the Living Wage taken by the Strategy and Finance Committee and the University Executive Board.

**Resolved:**

“That a paper entitled Living Wage, now submitted, be received.”

16/25 **Report of the Student Experience Committee** (Item 8)

The Council received the Report of the meeting of the Student Experience Committee held on 7 March 2016.

In regard to item 2, the Council noted RUSU’s concerns in relation to the availability of ‘budget’ student accommodation, and welcomed the ongoing discussions between RUSU, the University and, where appropriate, UPP on this matter and on the possible establishment of an ‘Accommodation Committee’ to be responsible for the strategic planning of University accommodation.

In regard to item 5, the Council commended the work being undertaken by the University and RUSU to address the ‘attainment gap’ which was evident in the performance of black, Asian and minority ethnic (BAME) students relative to white students.

**Resolved:**
“That the Report of the meeting of the Student Experience Committee held on 7 March 2016, now submitted, be approved.”

16/26 Report of the Remuneration Committee (Item 7)

The Council received the Report of the meeting of the Remuneration Committee held on 2 February 2016.

In regard to item 1, the Vice-Chancellor reported that, while discussions with the local University and College Union (UCU) leadership on contracts of employment had been constructive and the UCU leadership had recommended acceptance of the proposed changes, there was a concern that some parts of the local membership might vote to reject the proposed changes. In this event, the University, having taken all reasonable steps, would invoke the Disputes Procedure with UCU. The Vice-Chancellor indicated that the University wished to have resolved this matter before the beginning of the next academic year.

Resolved:

“That the Report of the meeting of the Remuneration Committee held on 2 February 2016, now submitted, be approved.”

16/27 Report of the Senate (Item 10)

The Council received the Report of the meeting of the Senate held on 9 March 2016.

In regard to item 1, the Council noted with particular pleasure the nomination of the eminent psychologist Professor Steven Pinker (Harvard University) as a Visiting Professor. Professor Pinker would be giving a lecture at the University later in the year.

In response to a question with regard to item 4, the University Secretary explained that the power of the Appeals Committee to impose a higher penalty was being removed on legal advice.

Resolved:

1. “That, with reference to item 1, the following be accorded the title of Visiting Professor:

   Agriculture, Policy and Development
   Professor Amir Kassam (Food and Agriculture Organization, United Nations), for a further period ending 31 March 2019;
   Professor David Midmore (Central Queensland University), for the period ending 31 March 2019;
   Professor Tim Wheeler (NERC), for the period ending 31 March 2019.

   Biological Sciences
Professor Jeffrey Almond (Sanofi Pasteur), for the period ending 31 March 2019;

Chemistry, Food and Pharmacy
Professor Franz H Kohnke (Messina University), for the period ending 31 March 2019;
Professor Alan Naylor (GlaxoSmithKline), for the period ending 31 March 2019;
Dr Christopher Nicklin (Diamond Light Source), for the period ending 31 March 2019;
Professor Alan Palmer (Brain-e-Games Ltd), for the period ending 31 March 2019;

Mathematical and Physical Sciences
Dr Paul Childs (Emerson Roxar), for the period ending 31 March 2019;

Psychology and Clinical Language Sciences
Professor Steven Pinker (Harvard University), for the period ending 31 March 2019.

2. “That, with reference to item 2, the title of Professor Emeritus be conferred upon the following with effect from the date indicated:

Professor Peter Gregory (31 March 2016).”

3. “That, with reference to item 3, the following be accorded the title of Honorary Fellow for a period of five years from the date indicated:

Mrs Helen Newdick (30 November 2015)
Dr Gary Robinson (18 December 2016)
Mr Guy Haworth (31 July 2016).”

4. “That, with reference to item 4, the Procedures for considering student discipline cases, now submitted, be approved.”

5. “That the Report of the meeting of the Senate held on 9 March 2016, now submitted, be approved.”

16/28 Report of the Appointments and Governance Committee (Item 11)

The Council received the Report of the meeting of the Appointments and Governance Committee held on 2 February 2016.

In regard to an additional paper on the appointment of the Chancellor, the Council noted that Sir John Madejski had indicated that he wished to stand down from the role of Chancellor towards the end of 2016, and that, following consultation, the Appointments and Governance Committee had been pleased to recommend that Lord Waldegrave be appointed Chancellor for the period from 9 December 2016 to 31 December 2021. The Council warmly welcomed the nomination.
Resolved:

1. “That, with reference to item 2, the following alteration to Class 3 of the membership of the Council and the consequent draft amendment to the Charter be approved, subject to confirmation by the Privy Council, to be effective from the academic year 2016/17:

(a) The Deans of Faculty be removed, given the discontinuation of the role;

(b) The Dean of the Henley Business School (Professor Board) be appointed to the Council ex officio;

(c) Two members of the Leadership Group be added to the membership of the Council, to serve for three years;

(d) Since the Deans of the Faculties represent and lead academic parts of the University, the two members of the Leadership Group to be added to the Council be drawn from the academic roles within the Leadership Group, namely:

   Research Deans
   Dean of Postgraduate Studies
   Teaching and Learning Deans
   Heads of School;

(e) As with other members of Council who are elected, any academic member of the Leadership Group would need a proposer and seconder to be eligible for election;

(f) Were more than two academic members of the Leadership Group proposed and seconded, an election would be held in which all members of the Leadership Group would be eligible to vote.”

2. “That, with reference to item 3,

(a) Dame Moira Gibb and Mr Simon Pryce be re-appointed to the Council in Class 2 for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

(b) Dr Peter Erskine and Mr Steve Sherman be re-appointed to the Council in Class 2 for the period from 1 August 2016 up to 31 July 2019.”

3. “That, with reference to item 4, subject to reappointment to Class 2

   Appointments and Governance Committee

(a) Mr Steve Sherman be re-appointed to the Appointments and Governance Committee for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

   Audit Committee
(b) Mr Steve Sherman be re-appointed to the Audit Committee for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019 to serve as a representative from the Strategy and Finance Committee;

Disciplinary Appeals Committee

c) Dame Moira Gibb be re-appointed to the Disciplinary Appeals Committee for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

Joint Standing Committee of the Council and the Senate on Honorary Degrees:

d) Dr Peter Erskine be re-appointed to the Joint Standing Committee of the Council and the Senate on Honorary Degrees for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

e) Dame Moira Gibb be re-appointed to the Joint Standing Committee of the Council and the Senate on Honorary Degrees for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

Investments Committee:

f) Mr Simon Pryce be re-appointed to the Investments Committee for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

Remuneration Committee:

g) Mr Steve Sherman be re-appointed to the Remuneration Committee for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

Strategy and Finance Committee:

h) Dr Peter Erskine be re-appointed to the Strategy and Finance Committee for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

i) Mr Steve Sherman be re-appointed to the Strategy and Finance Committee for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019;

Investments Committee

j) Mr Simon Pryce be appointed as Chair of the Committee from 1 August 2017 to 31 July 2019;

Standing Disciplinary Committee

k) Mr Bob Dwyer be appointed to the Standing Disciplinary Committee as an alternate member to Mr John Wilkins from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019.

Strategy and Finance Committee

l) Mrs Penny Egan be appointed to the Strategy and Finance Committee (vice Mr Christopher Fisher) for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019.

(m) Mr Simon Pryce be appointed to the Strategy and Finance Committee (vice Mr Howard Palmer) for the period from 1 August 2016 to 31 July 2019.”

4. “That:
(a) an additional paper entitled Appointment of the Chancellor, now submitted, be received;

(b) Lord Waldegrave be appointed as Chancellor of the University to serve in the first instance for the period from 9 December 2016 to 31 December 2021.”

5. “That the Report of the meeting of the Appointments and Governance Committee held on 2 February 2016, now submitted, be approved.”

16/29 Report of the Audit Committee (Item 10)

The Council received the Report of the meeting of the Audit Committee held on 18 February 2016.

In regard to item 1, Mr Beardmore-Gray, as Chair of the Audit Committee, reported that, for the year end 31 July 2016, the University would be required to produce its financial statements under FRS102 and the new SORP issued in 2015, and that, in consequence, the statements for 2016 would require the comparative figures for the year ended 2015 to be restated under the new regime. KPMG were currently reviewing the restated figures and would report to the Audit Committee in June 2016.

Resolved:

“That the Report of the meeting of the Audit Committee held on 18 February 2016, now submitted, be approved.”

16/30 Report on matters relating to Statute XXXIII (Item 13)

The Council received a Report on matters relating to Statute XXXIII, which outlined the processes undertaken to date in respect of a complaint regarding a member of Academic Staff, a grievance and four appeals against dismissal.

Resolved:

“That the Report on matters concerning Statute XXXIII, now submitted, be approved.”

16/31 St Patrick’s Hall

The Council received a letter from REDACTED S. 40, an alumnus, raising concerns about the forthcoming redevelopment of St Patrick’s Hall. The letter, which was supported by some ten other alumni, referred to the affection in which St Patrick’s Hall was held, the architectural merit of Pearson’s Court, and the University’s responsibility to preserve its heritage. The Council appreciated the strong feelings which a hall of residence evoked in those who had been part of its community during a formative period of their life, and recognised that many current residents also supported the case
for its preservation. However, the building was not listed and was judged by expert opinion to be of ‘lesser architectural quality’, nor was there any evidence which supported any connection with Captain W.E. Johns, author of the Biggles stories. The University had an urgent need to increase the capacity of its student accommodation, and, whereas some 320 students were currently accommodated in St Patrick’s Hall, approximately 1,000 students would be accommodated in the redeveloped residence. The Council, while sharing some regret over the loss of a much-loved building, confirmed its support for the redevelopment of the Hall. The Council asked that the University explore the possibility of acknowledging the heritage of St Patrick’s Hall through the commemoration of the late Andrew Large or other wardens who had made a substantial contribution to the life of the hall and the University.

Resolved:

“That a letter from REDACTED S. 40 in respect of the redevelopment of St Patrick’s Hall, now submitted, be received.”

16/32 Date of the final meeting of the Council in the Session 2015-16

The final meeting of the Council in this Session had been scheduled for:

Monday 11 July 2016 at 2.15 pm.

16/33 Provisional dates for meetings of the Council in the Session 2016-17

Meetings of the Council in the Session 2016/17 had been scheduled for:

Friday 25 November 2016 at 10.00 am to be preceded by a Council Dinner on the evening of Thursday 24 November
Friday 20 January 2017 at 2.15 pm
Monday 20 March 2017 at 2.15 pm preceded by a meeting of the Court in the morning
Monday 10 July 2017 at 2.15 pm.