Procedures for considering appeals of results

1. An academic appeal is a request for a review of a decision of an academic body relating to progression, assessment and awards in respect of an individual student.

2. The following processes need to be distinguished:

   (a) An appeal of a result;
   (b) A review of a mark.

   (a) An appeal of a result
   An appeal of a result is an appeal of a student’s result of a Part of a programme including the results of programme fail and not qualified, or a student’s final classification result. This is considered by the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results under this procedure.

   The terms of reference of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results are to consider appeals by students against examination results, and to report to Senate.

   (b) A review of a mark
   A review of a mark may be requested when a student considers that they have been awarded an incorrect mark for a module on the grounds of an alleged assessment irregularity in relation to a module mark. The Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results is not responsible for considering appeals against a module mark except in those cases where the module mark has affected the overall result of a Part of the final classification. If the student wishes to appeal against a module mark, the student should refer to the procedures for review of module marks, which can be found at: http://www.reading.ac.uk/internal/exams/Policies/exa-appeal.aspx.

3. Summary of the procedure for considering an appeal of a result
   Students are strongly encouraged to discuss their concern which could form the basis of an appeal of a result with their Senior Tutor, or in the case of research students, their supervisor or School Director of Postgraduate Research, and to seek advice from the Advice Service in Reading University Students' Union.

4. To submit an appeal of a result, students are required to send a completed appeal of results form to studentappeals@reading.ac.uk before the relevant deadline.

5. If the student submits their appeal of a result form after the relevant deadline, the appeal will only be considered if the student demonstrates a good reason for the late submission of their appeal. Advice may be sought from the University’s Disability Advisory Service where appropriate.

6. An appeal of a result will normally be considered at the next meeting of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results after the student has received the result in which they wish to appeal. The relevant deadline will be published on the Examinations Office website and are on the appeal of a result form.
7. The student may only submit an appeal of their result under one or more of the grounds specified in paragraph 10 of this procedure. **Appeals of a result will not be considered on the ground of academic judgement.** In other words, a student may not appeal simply because they believe that they deserve a higher result.

8. There are two stages of the appeal of results procedure:

   **Stage 1:** A Teaching and Learning Dean who has not had any previous involvement in the result shall conduct an initial evaluation of the completed appeal of a result form and shall determine whether the student has demonstrated *prima facie* grounds for appeal.

   If the Teaching and Learning Dean decides that the student’s appeal of their result has *prima facie* grounds, the appeal shall proceed to Stage 2 of the process.

   **Stage 1 review:** If the Teaching and Learning Dean decides that the student has not demonstrated *prima facie* grounds for appeal, the student may request a review of this outcome at the Stage 1 Review Sub-Group.

   If at that stage, the Stage 1 Review Sub-Group determines that the student has demonstrated *prima facie* grounds for appeal, the student’s appeal shall proceed to Stage 2 of the process.

   **Stage 2:** The student’s appeal of a result shall be heard by the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results (SSCER). The Committee shall decide whether or not to uphold the appeal of a result, and shall make a recommendation to vary the result where appropriate.

   **Stage 2 review:** If the student is dissatisfied with the outcome of Stage 2, the student may request a review of the Stage 2 outcome on the grounds specified in this process.

   If a student is dissatisfied at the end of the internal process, they may submit a complaint to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education.

9. **Principles**
   - **Advice** Students are encouraged to seek independent and confidential advice from the Advice Service in Reading University Students’ Union. They are professional staff with knowledge and experience of the University's procedures and can attend the hearing as the student’s ‘friend’ as defined in this procedure. [http://www.rusu.co.uk/advice/academic_advice/](http://www.rusu.co.uk/advice/academic_advice/).
   - **Accessibility** This process is open to all students of the University of Reading and the Committee shall implement reasonable adjustments where appropriate.
   - **Fairness** The student will have a fair opportunity to present their appeal and shall be provided with clear reasons for the outcome of each stage of the process.
• Diversity and Inclusion Staff who consider appeals of results at any stage of the process will be appropriately trained, particularly on issues relating to Diversity and Inclusion.

• Confidentiality Appropriate levels of confidentiality will be maintained

• Improving the student experience The University aims to use the information gathered by the process to improve the student experience.

• Reporting of appeal of a result Appeal of results will be recorded, anonymised and reported to Senate.

• Behaviour The University reserve the rights to terminate consideration of an appeal of a result if a student’s behaviour is unacceptable.

• Group appeals Students may use this process to submit group appeals.

10. Grounds for submitting an appeal of a result
An appeal of a result shall only be considered under one or more of the following grounds:

• If there has been a procedural irregularity;
• If there has been bias;
• If there has been a material defect in the delivery of teaching and learning which has had a significant impact on the result;
• If the student has an insurmountable reason for not having submitted an Extenuating Circumstances form before the relevant deadline. Examples of this include hospitalization, incarceration, mental health issues supported by appropriate medical evidence, or an equivalent incapacity;
• If the student wishes to appeal against the outcome of their Extenuating Circumstances Form submitted to the Programme Examiners Meeting or the Special Cases Sub-Committee.

An appeal of a result shall not be considered on the ground of academic judgement.

11. Note for Postgraduate research students
Section 8 of the Code of Practice for Postgraduate Research Students makes provision for PGR students to raise concerns about the adequacy of their supervision or other arrangements during the period of study. It would normally be expected that a student has raised such concerns at the appropriate time. In consequence, appeals on the grounds that there has been a material defect in supervision will normally proceed to a hearing only in those cases where there is evidence that the student had made reasonable efforts to raise their concern at the appropriate time and before submission, in accordance with the procedures, and that the concern had not been satisfactorily resolved.

Please note that all references to ‘Teaching and Learning Dean’ in this procedure shall be replaced with ‘Dean of Postgraduate Research Studies’ if the appeal of result is submitted by a Postgraduate Research student.

12. Advice for students
Before submitting an appeal of a result form, students are advised to discuss their concerns with their Senior Tutor or, in the case of Postgraduate Research students, their Supervisor or School Director of Postgraduate Research, as soon as possible after the result has been published. The Senior Tutor, Supervisor or School Director of Postgraduate Research should keep a record of the meeting.
13. Students are also strongly advised to seek independent advice from the Advice Service in Reading University Students’ Union. [http://www.rusu.co.uk/advice/](http://www.rusu.co.uk/advice/)

14. **Graduation and progression**
   A student who has submitted an appeal of a result form shall not be permitted to graduate until the Congregation following the settlement of the case.

   A student who has an appeal of result form will be ineligible to proceed to the next Part of their programme and shall not be permitted to proceed until s/he has fulfilled the relevant progression requirements.

15. **Submitting an appeal of a result**
   To submit an appeal of a result, students are required to send a completed appeal of results to studentappeals@reading.ac.uk before the relevant deadline.

16. **Deadline for submitting appeals of results forms and procedures for late appeals**
   Appeals of results are normally heard at the next meeting of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results after the student has received the result in which they wish to appeal. The deadline will be published on the Examinations Office website and are on the appeal of result form.

17. In exceptional circumstances, the Standing Committee on Examination Result may meet outside of the scheduled published dates. For example, to meet regulatory requirements for the completion of a professional course, or the expiration of a visa. Requests should be submitted to studentappeals@reading.ac.uk.

18. If the student has submitted their appeal of a result form after the deadline s/he will be invited to explain their reasons for late submission on the appeal of result form, supported by medical evidence where appropriate. The Teaching and Learning Dean shall decide whether the student has shown a good reason for the late submission of the appeal. When considering whether to accept the appeal of a result late, advice may be sought from the Disability Advisory Service if appropriate.

19. **Stage 1: Initial evaluation of the appeal of a result**
   The Teaching and Learning Dean will determine the following:
   (a) Whether the student has submitted their appeal of a result form before the relevant deadline and, if not, whether the student has shown a good reason for the late submission of their appeal; AND
   (b) Whether the student’s appeal of a result has *prima facie* grounds for appeal. This means whether the student’s reasons for appealing falls under the specified grounds for appeal as set out in paragraph 10 and whether the student has provided sufficient evidence, where appropriate, to support their appeal.

20. **Stage 1 outcomes**
   There are two possible outcomes of Stage 1:

   **Outcome 1:** The appeal of a result has been submitted before the relevant deadline, or the student has demonstrated a good reason for the late submission of their appeal of a result form, AND the student has demonstrated *prima facie* grounds for appeal.

   In the instance of Outcome 1, the appeal shall proceed to Stage 2.
Outcome 2:

(a) The appeal of a result has been submitted after the relevant deadline and the student has not demonstrated a good reason for the late submission of their appeal of a result form AND the student has not demonstrated prima facie grounds for appeal

OR

(b) The appeal of a result has been submitted after the relevant deadline and the student has not demonstrated a good reason for the late submission of their appeal of a result form AND the student has demonstrated prima facie grounds for appeal

OR

(c) The appeal of a result has been submitted before the relevant deadline or the student has demonstrated a good reason for the late submission of their appeal of a result form AND the student has not demonstrated prima facie grounds for appeal

In the instance of Outcome 2 (a) (b) or (c) the outcome letter shall provide clear reasons for the decision and will also inform the student that they may request a hearing at the Stage 1 review Sub-Group.

21. Stage 1 Review
The purpose of this hearing is to review the outcome of Stage 1 and the student will have a further opportunity to demonstrate that their appeal of their result has prima facie grounds for appeal.

22. Students must request a review of the Stage 1 outcome by the deadline set out in the Stage 1 outcome letter. If the student does not meet this deadline, the review will only be considered if Sub-Group is satisfied that the student has demonstrated a good reason for the late submission of their request for a Stage 1 review. Advice may be sought from the University’s Disability Advisory Service where appropriate.

23. The Stage 1 Review Sub-Group shall receive the student’s appeal of a result form and the student may submit further evidence for consideration.

24. Before the Stage 1 Review Sub-Group hearing
The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall write to the student to invite them to the hearing normally at least seven days before the meeting. The student shall be invited to attend the hearing on a specified date. If the student demonstrates a good reason for being unable to attend in person, they may be permitted to attend via telephone or skype. For example, distance learners may attend via telephone or skype.

25. When inviting the student to the hearing, the Student Appeals and Complaints Officer will ask the student whether they have any special requirements to allow them to attend and/or participate fully in the hearing. The student should notify
the Student Appeals and Complaints Officer not less than 5 working days before
the hearing to allow the University to make appropriate reasonable adjustments.

26. The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall also inform the student how to
access advice, their right to be accompanied by a ‘friend’ and the composition of
the Sub-Group.

27. The student has the right to be accompanied by a ‘friend’ which for this purpose
is defined as: an adviser from Reading University Students’ Union Advice Service
team, a current member of staff of the University of Reading, a currently
registered student of the University of Reading, or a University of Reading
Students’ Union Student Officer. A person who does not fall within these
categories shall not be able to act as a ‘friend’ unless otherwise agreed by the
Chair of the Sub-Group.

28. The role of the ‘friend’ is to support the student in preparation for the hearing;
accompany the student at the hearing; the ‘friend’ may speak on the behalf of a
student, with the permission of the Chair. With the permission of the Chair, the
‘friend’ may also direct questions to a witness or school representative at the
hearing if it is relevant to the student’s case. It is not the role of the ‘friend’ to
present the student’s case on their behalf, except in the most exceptional
circumstances. Disabled Students may also be accompanied by a Support Worker
in addition to a ‘friend’, as appropriate to their needs.

29. The advice of the University Medical Advisor, the Director of the University
Counselling Service or an appropriate professional shall be available to the
Committee in appropriate cases.

30. All supporting evidence submitted by the student must be in English (or a
professional English translation provided).

31. During the Stage 1 Review Sub-Group hearing
The student and the ‘friend’ shall normally be present throughout the sub-
group’s hearing of evidence but the Chair may ask them withdraw at any stage. If
any person obstructs the proceedings, the Chair may require all or any of those
present to leave the meeting.

32. The proceedings shall not be invalidated by the failure of the student or the
‘friend’ to attend the meeting or by the exclusion of the student, or the student’s
‘friend’ from the meeting.

33. The Sub-Group shall consider the student’s appeal of result form, together with
any evidence submitted by the student before the hearing and oral evidence
provided at the hearing. The Sub-Group has the power to seek further information
as it wishes.

34. The student and the ‘friend’ may speak, comment or ask questions at any time
with the permission of the Chair, provided that the content is relevant to the case.

35. The Sub-Group has the power to adjourn a hearing for a reasonable period.

36. The Sub-Group shall consider the case in private and the student shall be
informed of the outcome in a timely way
37. The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall keep a record of the proceedings of and evidence given to the sub-group.

38. **After the Stage 1 Review Sub-Group hearing**
   The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall send a Stage 1 Review outcome letter to the student in a timely way, and the student shall be provided with the Minutes of the hearing.

39. **Review of Stage 1 outcomes:**
   There are two possible outcomes of the Stage 1 Review Sub-Group:

   (1) The decision of the Teaching and Learning Dean is upheld. That is, the Sub-Group agrees that the appeal does not have *prima facie* grounds, and/or the student has not demonstrated a good reason for submitting their appeal late.

   In this instance, the student has exhausted the University's internal process. The Student Appeal and Complaints Officer shall issue a Completion of Procedures letter and notify the Examinations Office, RISIS and the Graduation Office.

   OR

   (2) The decision of the Teaching and Learning Dean is overturned. That is, the Sub-Group are satisfied that the appeal has *prima facie* grounds, and where an appeal was submitted late, the student has demonstrated a good reason for the late submission.

   In this instance, the appeal shall proceed to Stage 2, to a full hearing at the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results.

40. **Stage 2: Hearing at the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results**
   The Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results is the Committee which considers the student’s request for an appeal of their result, and has the power and duty to issue recommendations to vary a result where appropriate.

41. **Before the hearing**
   The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall send the student’s appeal of a result form to the student’s Head of School. The Head of School or their representative shall be required to provide a written reply. If appropriate, the Chair of the relevant Examiners’ may also be invited to provide a written comment on the case.

42. The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall write to the student to invite them to the hearing normally at least seven days before the Committee meeting. The student will be invited to attend the hearing on a specified date. If the student demonstrates a good reason for being unable to attend in person, they may be able to attend via telephone or skype. For example, distance learners may attend via telephone or skype.

43. When inviting the student to the hearing, the Student Appeals and Complaints Officer will ask the student whether they have any special requirements to allow them to attend and/or participate fully in the hearing. The student should notify the Student Appeals and Complaints Officer not less than 5 working days before the hearing to allow the University to make appropriate reasonable adjustments.
44. The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall also inform the student how to access advice, their right to be accompanied by a ‘friend’, the composition of the Committee and provide the student with a copy of the appeal file to be considered by the Committee.

45. The appeal file shall contain the following: the student’s appeal of a result form, supporting evidence submitted by the student, the School’s response to the appeal and a profile of the student’s marks. Other documents may also be included where appropriate.

46. The student has the right to be accompanied by a ‘friend’ which for this purpose is defined as: an adviser from Reading University Students’ Union Advice Service team, a current member of staff of the University of Reading, a currently registered student of the University of Reading, or a University of Reading Students’ Union Student Officer. A person who does not fall within these categories shall not be able to act as a ‘friend’ unless otherwise agreed by the Chair of the Committee.

47. The role of the ‘friend’ is to support the student in preparation for the hearing; accompany the student at the hearing; the ‘friend’ may speak on the behalf of a student, with the permission of the Chair. With the permission of the Chair, the ‘friend’ may also direct questions to a witness or school representative at the hearing if it is relevant to the student’s case. It is not the role of the ‘friend’ to present the student's case on their behalf, except in the most exceptional circumstances. Disabled Students may also be accompanied by a Support Worker in addition to a ‘friend’, as appropriate to their needs.

48. The Head of School (or their representative) shall be required to attend the hearing of the case. No other member of the School shall normally be present, but the Committee has discretion to call other members of the School or other relevant staff at the University.

49. The advice of the University Medical Advisor, the Director of the University Counselling Service or an appropriate professional shall be available to the Committee in appropriate cases.

50. All supporting evidence submitted by the student must be in English (or a professional English translation provided).

51. During the hearing
The student, the ‘friend’ and the Head of School (or their representative) shall normally be present throughout the Committee’s hearing of evidence but the Chair may ask them to withdraw at any stage at the Committee’s proceedings if the Committee wishes to consider privately any matter or point. If any person obstructs the proceedings, the Chair may require all or any of those present to leave the meeting.

52. The proceedings of the Committee shall not be invalidated by the failure of the student, the ‘friend’ or the Head of School (or their representative) to attend the meeting or by the exclusion of the student, the student’s ‘friend’ or the Head of School (or their representative) from the meeting.
53. The Committee shall consider the appeal file together with any oral evidence provided at the hearing. The Committee has the power to seek further information as it wishes.

54. The student, the ‘friend’ and the Head of School may speak, comment or ask questions at any time with the permission of the Chair, provided that the content is relevant to the case. The Committee has the power to question the student, the ‘friend’, and the Head of School (or their representative) at any time during the hearing of a case.

55. The Committee has the power to adjourn a hearing for a reasonable period.

56. The Committee shall consider the case in private and the student shall be informed of the outcome in a timely way.

57. The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall keep a record of the proceedings of and evidence given to the Committee.

58. After the hearing
   The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall send a Stage 2 outcome letter to the student in a timely way, and the student shall be provided with the Minutes of the hearing. The student shall also be informed how to request a review of the Stage 2 outcome.

59. The Committee may write to the Head of School, Teaching and Learning Dean, Pro-Vice-Chancellor, or Committee of the University following the outcome of an appeal of a result to communicate concerns or issues that have been raised as a result of the appeal.

60. The Student Appeal and Complaints Officer shall notify the Examinations Office, RISIS, the Graduation Office and the Faculty Office of the outcome the appeal of the result.

61. Stage 2 outcomes:

   There are two possible outcomes from the Stage 2, the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results:

   **Outcome 1:** The Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results upholds the appeal and issues a recommendation to vary the result which must be approved by Senate. The recommendations to vary the result for Undergraduate, Postgraduate Taught and Postgraduate Research students are outlined in paragraph 62.

   OR

   **Outcome 2:** The Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results does not uphold the appeal and the student’s result will stand. Students may request a review of the outcome of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Result on the grounds specified in paragraph 63. Students must request from within seven days from the date of the Stage 2 outcome letter.

62. Recommendation to vary a result for Undergraduate and Postgraduate Taught students
The Committee may recommend, but is not limited to recommending, one or more of the following remedies:

- Recommend that the student is Deemed Not to have Sat (DNS) one or more assessments or modules;
- Recommend to alter the weighting of assessments to award a higher classification;
- Exceptionally, recommend that a student is permitted to repeat the year.

When the Committee issues a recommendation to vary a result, the views of the appropriate External Examiner(s) shall be sought, and, if the External Examiner(s) agree with the recommendation of the Committee, the recommendation shall be referred to the Senate for approval. If any External Examiners disagrees with the recommendation of the Committee, the Minute of the hearing and the response(s) of the External Examiner(s) shall be referred to the Senate for resolution. The decision of the Senate shall be final.

**Recommendation to vary a result for Postgraduate Research students**

In the case of Postgraduate Research students, including PhD, MPhil by thesis, LLM by thesis, and professional doctorate, the Committee will make one of the following recommendations:

(i) A recommendation that a candidate be deemed not to have submitted the student’s thesis. In this case, the recommendation shall be referred to the Senate for approval;

OR

(ii) A recommendation that a candidate’s examination be set aside. In this case, the recommendation shall be referred to the Senate for approval;

OR

(iii) Exceptionally, a recommendation that a specified higher degree be awarded. In this case, the recommendation shall be referred to an Independent Assessor, appointed by Senate, who shall submit a brief report to the Senate. If the Independent Assessor agrees with the recommendation of the Committee, the recommendation shall be referred to the Senate for approval. If the Independent Assessor disagrees with the recommendation of the Committee, the case shall be referred to the Senate for resolution.

Any decision by the Committee shall not affect the student’s normal right to resubmit their thesis for re-examination.

63. **Stage 2 review**

Where the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results does not uphold the appeal the student may request a review of the outcome under one or more of the permitted grounds set out in paragraph 66. Reviews will be considered by a Pro-Vice-Chancellor who has had no previous involvement with the appeal of the result.

64. To request a review of the Stage 2 outcome, the student must submit a completed Stage 2 Review form and email it to studentappeals@reading.ac.uk within 7 days from the date of the Stage 2 outcome letter.
65. If the student submits the Stage 2 review form after the seven day deadline, the student shall be invited to explain why their form was submitted late. The review will only be considered if Pro-Vice-Chancellor who considers the review is satisfied that the student has demonstrated a good reason for the late submission of their Stage 2 review form. Advice may be sought from the University’s Disability Advisory Service where appropriate.

66. The Stage 2 review will not consider issues afresh or conduct further investigation. The student is only permitted to request a review of the Stage 2 outcome under one or more of the following grounds:

- If there has been a procedural irregularity in the process;
- If the decision was manifestly perverse;
- If the student provides any new material evidence which the student was unable to provide for valid reasons earlier in the process.

67. **Stage 2 Review outcomes:**

The Pro-Vice-Chancellor shall seek to satisfy himself or herself, that:

- There has not been a procedural irregularity; AND
- The decision was not manifestly perverse; AND
- The student has not provided any new material evidence, or where they have provided new evidence there is no valid reason why they were unable to provide it earlier in the process.

In this instance, the student has exhausted the University’s internal process. The Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall send the Completion of Procedures letter to the student providing clear reasons for the decision.

68. If the Pro-Vice-Chancellor finds that:

- There has been procedural irregularity; AND/OR
- The decision was manifestly perverse; AND/OR
- The student provides any new material evidence which the student was unable to provide for valid reasons earlier in the process;

In this instance, the appeal of the result shall be re-heard at the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results with panel members who have not had any previous involvement in the appeal.

69. **Membership**

**Stage 1**

The initial evaluation of an appeal of a result shall be considered by a Teaching and Learning Dean.

70. **Stage 1 review**

This shall be a Sub-Group of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results and shall comprise of the designated Pro-Vice Chancellor with other Pro-Vice-Chancellors serving as alternates, a member of the Committee of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results and a Student Officer from Reading University Students’ Union. The Pro-Vice Chancellor who considers the appeal at the Stage 1 review shall normally be the Chair of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results.

71. No act or ruling of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results sub-group shall be invalidated by reason only of vacancy in the Committee or by
reason of absence of one member from any meeting of the sub-group provided always that the Committee shall not proceed to consider any appeal of a result unless two of its members are present.

72. **Stage 2**
   The membership of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results comprises a designated Pro-Vice-Chancellor with other Pro-Vice-Chancellors serving as alternates. The membership of the Committee shall be published in the Calendar.

73. The Committee normally delegates to a panel its powers and responsibilities for considering appeal of results. The panel shall be drawn from the membership of the Committee and shall normally comprise: a Pro-Vice-Chancellor (Chair) and any other three members to be selected by the Chair and a Student Officer from Reading University Students’ Union.

74. The Committee shall not include a member of a School which has sole or joint responsibility for the student’s programme, nor include a Teaching and Learning Dean who has previously participated in consideration of the student’s result.

75. No act or ruling of the Senate Standing Committee on Examination Results shall be invalidated by reason only of vacancy in the Committee or by reason of absence of one or more members from any meeting of the Committee provided always that the Committee shall not proceed to consider any appeal of a result unless three of its members are present.

76. **Stage 2 Reviews**
   Stage 2 reviews shall be considered by a Pro-Vice-Chancellor who has had no previous involvement with the appeal of the result.

77. **Recording, reporting and learning from appeal of results**
   The following details will be recorded and reported annually to the Student Experience Committee and the University Board for Teaching and Learning:
   - The student’s programme and part of stud;
   - The student’s age, gender, ethnicity and whether the student has a disability. The student’s name shall not be reported. If the student considers that this information may make them identifiable, this shall not be reported at the request of the student.

78. Following an action from paragraph 59, the Student Appeals and Complaints Officer shall ensure that corrective action is recorded and reported annually to the Student Experience Committee and the University Board for Teaching and Learning.